

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Case No. 2:10-cv-02203-MMD-GWF

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

JEREMY JOHNSON, et al.,

Defendants.

16 Defendant Jeremy Johnson, proceeding *pro se*, has filed an *ex parte* motion to
17 set aside the preliminary injunction order (“Motion”). (Dkt. no. 1625.) The Court has
18 reviewed the first part of the Motion up to the “Introduction” section (which begins on
19 page 2) where Johnson offers the reasons supporting his request to file his motion *ex*
20 *parte*. The Court cannot be assured that the information offered in support of the Motion
21 was properly obtained. For this reason, the Court has not reviewed the remainder of the
22 Motion and will direct that the Motion be stricken. If the information offered in support of
23 the Motion was not properly obtained, the information cannot be used as a basis to seek
24 *ex parte* relief or any type of relief. If it was properly obtained, then there is no valid
25 reason for the Court to consider Johnson’s motion *ex parte* without notice to the other
26 parties. To the extent Johnson is concerned about protecting confidential information,
27 the appropriate means of protecting such information is to file a request to file under
28 seal.

1 For the foregoing reasons, it is ordered that Johnson's *ex parte* motion to set
2 aside the preliminary injunction order (dkt. no. 1625) is denied. It is further ordered that
3 Johnson's *ex parte* motion (dkt. no. 1625) is stricken from the record.

4 DATED THIS 8th day of July 2015.



5
6 MIRANDA M. DU
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28